## Resolutions of the 7<sup>th</sup> European Modelling Workshop

Vienna, 24 October 2014

- 1. Practical guidance on developing appropriate monitoring studies to support pesticide concentrations in groundwater One specific aspect to consider could be vertical and lateral flow (capture zones)
- 2. Literature review of current monitoring guidance workshop at Piacenza could involve EMAG Workgroup (SETAC).
- 3. To substantiate the discussions on tiered groundwater assessment scheme a proposal for options for specifying the groundwater protection goal at national level within the EU shall be developed. A selection of options shall be provided to risk managers for them to consider. A subgroup of the 7 EMW with different affiliations to work up 5 options. Take into consideration existing questionnaire from MAGPIE project (survey of member states).
- 4. Provide more guidance on options 3C and 3D in FOCUS 2009 document.
- 5. The resolutions of the 6<sup>th</sup> European Modelling Workshop were evaluated and most of them appeared to be still relevant. Therefore this evaluation is added as an appendix to these resolutions.

## **Resolutions of the 6<sup>th</sup> European Modelling Workshop** Paris, 12 October 2012 as evaluated at the 7<sup>th</sup> European Modelling Workshop in Vienna, October 2014

	Did it	Still	urgent
	happen	relevant	
Need to organise stakeholder workshop on	+/-	+	Yes, this
FOCUS surface water scenarios for review and	See		needs to be
identification of possible workable revisions			taken
(SETAC ?).			forward
Need for an agreed version-controlled process	-	+	++
for spatial data in Europe (used for risk	tiny		
assessment) that is available to all	progress		
stakeholders.			
Need for national soil surveys to make their	-	+	+
data available to JRC based on an agreed			
quality insurance protocol.			
Need to better understand the link between	+, +	+	+
groundwater and surface water monitoring and			
modelling (session in York conference ?;			
special attention in 7 <sup>th</sup> EU modelling			
workshop).			
Make available publicly (eg FOCUS website)	-	+	+ / -,
data sets for testing of exposure models;			Long term
perhaps via new COST Action ?			
Key issue is zonal harmonisation; to reach this		+	
harmonised tools should be made available	North:		+
publicly as soon as possible.	attempts		
publicly as soon as possible.	Central: too		
	many leading		
	countries		
	South: use		
	of EU tools		
	at tier 1		
Consensus reached in the EU scientific	-	+	+ ongoing
regulatory community should be used in the		1	in the
EU risk assessment as soon as possible.			course of
bootone.			years
Increase transparency (to ensure	+/-	+	+
reproducibility) when using new concepts in			
regulatory submissions.			
regulatory submissions.			